

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	:	Master File No. 12-md-02311 Honorable Sean F. Cox
IN RE: FUEL INJECTION SYSTEMS	:	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	:	2:13-cv-02201-SFC-RSW 2:15-cv-11827-SFC-RSW 2:15-cv-13423-SFC-RSW

ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT

Vitec, L.L.C., Inc. (the “Direct Purchaser Plaintiff”), on behalf of itself and the Settlement Class members, and Defendants Aisan Industry Co., Ltd., Franklin Precision Industry, Inc., Aisan Corporation of America, and Hyundam Industrial Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Aisan” or the “Aisan Defendants”), entered into a Settlement Agreement to fully and finally resolve the Settlement Class’s claims against Aisan and the other Releasees. On February 24, 2021, the Court entered an Order granting preliminary approval of the proposed Aisan settlement (the “Preliminary Approval Order”). By Order dated November 12, 2021, the Court authorized the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff to disseminate notice of the proposed settlement with the Aisan Defendants, the fairness hearing, and related matters to the Settlement Class (the “Notice Order”). (2:13-cv-02201, ECF No. 178). Notice was provided to the Aisan Settlement Class pursuant to the Notice Order and the Court held a fairness hearing on March 10, 2022.

Having considered the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of the Proposed Settlement with the Aisan Defendants, oral argument presented at the fairness hearing, and the complete record in this matter,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation.
2. Terms capitalized in this Order and Final Judgment and not otherwise defined herein have the same meanings as those used in the Settlement Agreement.
3. The Notice Order outlined the form and manner by which the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff would (and subsequently did) provide the Aisan Settlement Class with notice of the settlement, the fairness hearing, and related matters. The notice program included individual notice via first class mail to members of the Aisan Settlement Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts, as well as the publication of a summary notice in *Automotive News*. Additionally, an Informational Press Release targeting automotive industry trade publications was issued nationwide via PR Newswire's "Auto Wire." Finally, a copy of the Notice was (and remains) posted on-line at www.AutoPartsAntitrustLitigation.com/fis. Proof that mailing, publication and posting conformed with the Notice Order has been filed with the Court. This notice program fully complied with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, and the requirements of due process. It provided due and adequate notice to the Aisan Settlement Class.
4. The settlement was attained following an extensive investigation of the facts. It resulted from vigorous arm's-length negotiations, which were undertaken in good faith by counsel with significant experience litigating antitrust class actions.
5. The settlement was entered into by the parties in good faith.
6. Final approval of the settlement with Aisan is hereby granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), because it is "fair, reasonable, and adequate" to the Aisan Settlement Class. In reaching this conclusion, the Court considered the complexity, expense, and likely duration of the litigation, the Aisan Settlement Class's reaction to the settlement, and the result achieved.

7. The Aisan Settlement Class provisionally certified by the Court in its Preliminary Approval Order is hereby certified as a class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is composed of: “All individuals and entities who purchased Fuel Injection Systems in the United States directly from one or more Defendants (or their subsidiaries, affiliates, or joint ventures) from January 1, 2000 through March 12, 2018. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their present and former parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities.” The Court adopts and incorporates herein all findings made under Rule 23 in its Preliminary Approval Order.

8. The Court’s certification of the Aisan Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to or waiver of the rights of any Defendant to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions. The Court’s findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court’s ruling on any motion to certify any class in these actions or on the Court’s rulings concerning any Defendant’s motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court’s approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant’s motion.

9. The Action and all Released Claims are hereby dismissed with prejudice with respect to the Releasees and without costs. The Releasors are barred from instituting or prosecuting, in any capacity, an action or proceeding that asserts a Released Claim against any of the Releasees. This dismissal applies only in favor of Aisan and the other Releasees.

10. The Escrow Account, plus accrued interest thereon, is approved as a Qualified Settlement Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

11. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

12. This Order and Final Judgment does not settle or compromise any claims by the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff or the Settlement Class against any other Defendant or other person or entity other than Aisan and the other Releasees, and all rights against any other Defendant or other person or entity are specifically reserved.

13. Without affecting the finality of this Order and Final Judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Order and Final Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees or reimbursement of expenses made by Plaintiff's Counsel; and (d) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to Settlement Class members, including any service award for the Class Representative.

14. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54, the Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and hereby directs the entry of judgment as to Aisan.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 24, 2022

s/Sean F. Cox _____
Sean F. Cox
U. S. District Judge